

SECTION VIII-2

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>	<u>File No</u>
6.4.4(b) and (e) (2010 Edition, 2011 Addenda), Postweld Heat Treatment Minimum Heating and Cooling Rates	VIII-2-13-07	12-1308
7.5.5.2(b) (2010 Edition, 2011 Addenda), UT in Lieu of RT.....	VIII-2-13-08	13-856
Table 7.2 (2010 Edition, 2011 Addenda) and Code Case 2235-9, Ultrasonic Testing of Welds.....	VIII-2-13-06	12-348

Interpretation: VIII-2-13-06

Subject: Table 7.2 (2010 Edition, 2011 Addenda) and Code Case 2235-9, Ultrasonic Testing of Welds

Date Issued: December 11, 2013

File: 12-348

Question (1): May Code Case 2235-9 be used with the 2007 and later editions of Section VIII, Division 2?

Reply (1): No.

Question (2): Is it required that all welds requiring volumetric examination be examined by the same examination method (i.e., either radiographic examination of all welds or ultrasonic examination of all welds)?

Reply (2): No.

Question (3): For ultrasonic examinations performed in accordance with Code Case 2235-9, are the acceptance criteria provided by the Code Case the only ones that apply?

Reply (3): Yes.

Note: This interpretation also appears as VIII-1-13-15.

Interpretation: VIII-2-13-07

Subject: 6.4.4(b) and (e) (2010 Edition, 2011 Addenda), Postweld Heat Treatment Minimum Heating and Cooling Rates

Date Issued: December 11, 2013

File: 12-1308

Question: Do paragraphs 6.4.4(b) and (e) permit heating and cooling rates less than 55°C/h (100°F/hr) when applying postweld heat treatment?

Reply: Yes.

Interpretation: VIII-2-13-08

Subject: 7.5.5.2(b) (2010 Edition, 2011 Addenda), UT in Lieu of RT

Date Issued: December 11, 2013

File: 13-856

Question: Does the designation of “2a” in paragraph 7.5.5.2(b) mean that the acceptance criteria “a” for subsurface flaws found in Tables 7.8 through 7.11 should be multiplied by the number two to derive the value of “2a” found in paragraph 7.5.5.2(b) and in Figures 7.11 through 7.15 for subsurface flaws?

Reply: Yes.