

SECTION I

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>	<u>File No.</u>
PG-71.2 and Figure PG-58.3.1(b) (2010 Edition)	I-13-06	12-1509
PG-105, PG-106, Symbol Stamping on Nameplate (2010 Edition With 2011 Addenda).....	I-13-12	13-329
PG-106.5, Stamping of Boilers; PG-111, Location of Stamping (2010 Edition).....	I-13-08	13-159
PG-110, Stamping of Boiler Pressure Relief Valves (2010 Edition).....	I-13-10	13-102
PG-110(e)(2) (2010 Edition)	I-13-13	11-1459
PW-39; Table PW-39-3; Figure PW-16.1, Illustration (z); Postweld Heat Treatment Requirements (2010 Edition)	I-13-09	13-264
PW-43.1.2 (2010 Edition)	I-13-07	12-1557
PW-52, PW-52.3 (2010 Edition), Code Case 2235	I-13-11	13-317

Interpretation: I-13-06

Subject: PG-71.2 and Figure PG-58.3.1(b) (2010 Edition)

Date Issued: March 19, 2013

File No.: 12-1509

Question (1): Does the last sentence in PG-71.2 apply to the mounting of a safety relief valve for an isolable economizer?

Reply (1): No.

Question (2): Does Figure PG-58.3.1(b) dictate that the safety relief valve for an economizer be located at its outlet?

Reply (2): No.

Interpretation: I-13-07

Subject: PW-43.1.2 (2010 Edition)

Date Issued: March 19, 2013

File No.: 12-1557

Question: When determining the allowable load per unit length of attachment on a tube bend, is the following the intent of PW-43.1.2:

- (a) that the allowable unit load in tension determined by using the outside diameter of the tube be increased by the tension unit load for a tube having an outside diameter equivalent to the outside diameter of the bend and having a wall thickness the same as that of the tube bend
- (b) that the allowable unit load in compression determined by using the outside diameter of the tube be increased by the compressive unit load for a tube having an outside diameter equivalent to the outside diameter of the bend and having a wall thickness the same as that of the tube bend?

Reply: Yes; see A-74.

Interpretation: I-13-08

Subject: PG-106.5, Stamping of Boilers; PG-111, Location of Stamping (2010 Edition)

Date Issued: March 19, 2013

File No.: 13-159

Question (1): If a boiler meets the conditions specified by PG-106.5, may a stamped metallic nameplate be used to provide the data required by PG-106 in lieu of stamping the pressure-retaining material directly?

Reply (1): Yes.

Question (2): If a boiler meets the conditions specified by PG-111.9, may the location of boiler stamping differ from the locations described by PG-111.1 through PG-111.8, provided the Data Report Form records the location of the required stamping per PG-111.9?

Reply (2): Yes.

Interpretation: I-13-09

Subject: PW-39; Table PW-39-3; Figure PW-16.1, Illustration (z); Postweld Heat Treatment Requirements (2010 Edition)

Date Issued: March 19, 2013

File No.: 13-264

Question (1): Are the tube to header welds illustrated by Figure PW-16.1, illustration (z) considered circumferential butt welds?

Reply (1): No.

Question (2): Do the postweld heat treatment exemptions for circumferential butt welds noted in Table PW-39-3 apply to the welds illustrated by Figure PW-16.1, illustration (z)?

Reply (2): No.

Interpretation: I-13-10

Subject: PG-110, Stamping of Boiler Pressure Relief Valves (2010 Edition)

Date Issued: May 31, 2013

File No.: 13-102

Question: Must the pressure relief valves installed on a Section I vessel be stamped in accordance with PG-110?

Reply: Yes.

Interpretation: I-13-11

Subject: PW-52, PW-52.3 (2010 Edition), Code Case 2235

Date Issued: May 31, 2013

File No.: 13-317

Question (1): An ultrasonic examination of a weld is performed in accordance with PW-52. May the acceptance criteria of Code Case 2235 be applied in lieu of that provided in PW-52.3?

Reply (1): No.

Question (2): An ultrasonic examination of a weld is performed in accordance with Code Case 2235. May the acceptance criteria of PW-52.3 be applied in lieu of that provided in Code Case 2235?

Reply (2): No.

Interpretation: I-13-12

Subject: PG-105, PG-106, Symbol Stamping on Nameplate (2010 Edition With 2011 Addenda)

Date Issued: June 3, 2013

File No.: 13-329

Question: In accordance with PG-106, if a power boiler is postweld heat treated as per the requirements of PW-39, is it mandatory that the HT symbol be stamped on the ASME nameplate?

Reply: No.

Interpretation: I-13-13

Subject: PG-110(e)(2) (2010 Edition)

Date Issued: June 28, 2013

File No.: 11-1459

Question: Is it the intent of PG-110(e)(2) to require the capacity marking requirements per PG-69.4 for power-actuated pressure relieving valves?

Reply: Yes.